

Comment on ‘Observation of Bose-Einstein Condensation of Molecules’, cond-mat/0311617

M. Wouters*, J. Tempere*, J.T. Devreese

TFVS, Universiteit Antwerpen, Universiteitsplein 1, B2610 Wilrijk, Belgium.

(December 9, 2003.)

Zwierlein *et al.* report in their recent preprint [1] a value for the scattering length of weakly bound molecules that are formed out of two fermionic ${}^6\text{Li}$ atoms in different internal states. They observe a Thomas-Fermi density profile typical for a condensate of molecules, but there seems to be a serious discrepancy between the measured value of the measured molecular scattering length of $a_{\text{mol}}^{\text{exp}} = 8 \text{ nm}$ [1,2] at a magnetic field of 770 G and the predicted one [3] of $a_{\text{mol}}^{\text{theory}} = 0.6 a_{\text{atom}} = 120 \text{ nm}$. In this comment, we argue that this might be an indication that they have produced in fact a new many-body state.

As a first guide to explain this discrepancy, we want to point out that the Bose-Einstein condensed molecular gas is not dilute, in the sense that $n^{1/3}R$ is not very small (R is the radius of the molecule and n the density). The reported density is $5 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ in the center of the trap and the measured radius of the molecule $R \geq 100 \text{ nm}$, consistent with the predicted value for the atomic scattering length $a_{\text{atom}} = 200 \text{ nm}$. This gives us $n^{1/3}R = 0.368$. The supposition that the molecular gas behaves as if the molecules were pointlike bosons is then not necessarily fulfilled and in some sense this experiment is already in the cross-over regime between BEC and BCS and not anymore in the true BEC limit. This might explain the discrepancy between the theory and the experiment, because the theory of Petrov, Salomon and Schlyapnikov. [3] only deals with the true BEC limit, for which it predicts $a_{\text{mol}}^{\text{BEC}} = 0.6 a_{\text{atom}}$.

Apart from the result of Ref. [3] for molecules, there is a second class of papers that discusses the cross-over between BEC and BCS starting from the BCS-side [4–6] in stead. In these papers, a BCS ansatz is made for the wave function of the many body system. This BCS-ansatz seems to give meaningful results both in the weak-coupling (BCS) and strong-coupling (BEC) limit, predicting for the molecule-molecule scattering length the value of $a_{\text{mol}}^{\text{BCS}} = 2a_{\text{atom}}$. This would result in a molecular scattering length of 400 nm in this case, even further away from what is observed experimentally.

Insight can be gained by a closer look at the way in which the scattering length was determined experimentally, namely through a measurement of the mean-field energy [1]. To compare the experimental result with that of the two theories ($a_{\text{mol}}^{\text{BEC}}$ from [3], vs. $a_{\text{mol}}^{\text{BCS}} = 2a_{\text{atom}}$ from [4–6]), we have to start from the mean-field energy predicted in these theories.

*M.W. and J.T. are supported financially by the FWO-Vlaanderen.

As stated, in order to obtain the scattering length from the experiment, the mean-field energy is measured [1]. On the one hand, for a weakly interacting bose-gas, this mean-field energy equals

$$\mu_{\text{mol}}^{\text{BEC}}[n] = \frac{4\pi\hbar^2 a_{\text{mol}} n}{m_{\text{mol}}}. \quad (1)$$

This is the chemical potential of the homogeneous Bose-gas with density n . In the Thomas-Fermi approximation of describing the condensate, this is the only quantity that has to be known, since one assumes that

$$\mu_{\text{mol}}^{\text{BEC}}[n(\mathbf{r})] + V_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{r}) = \lambda, \quad (2)$$

with V_{ext} the external trapping potential and λ the chemical potential of the trapped Bose gas. This allows us to calculate all the properties, such as the density profile $n(\mathbf{r})$ and the total potential energy. The only assumption on which (2) is based is that $\mu[n(\mathbf{r})] \gg \hbar\bar{\omega}$, where $\bar{\omega}$ denotes the geometric mean of the three trapping frequencies. The reported value of the mean field energy is 100 nK and $\bar{\omega} = 6.18$ nK, so that the Thomas-Fermi expression (2) is valid.

On the other hand, we can also calculate the chemical potential starting from a BCS-ansatz. Analytical results can be obtained both in the strong-coupling (BEC) and weak-coupling (BCS) limits. In the strong-coupling BEC-limit, it is [5]

$$\mu_{\text{mol}}^{\text{BCS}} = 2\mu_{\text{atom}} = -E_{\text{b}} + \frac{4\pi\hbar^2 a_{\text{atom}} n}{m_{\text{atom}}}, \quad (3)$$

with E_{b} the molecular binding energy. This is equal to (1), up to a density-independent constant, when we set $a_{\text{mol}} = 2a_{\text{atom}}$ and $m_{\text{mol}} = 2m_{\text{atom}}$, which agrees with the results from Refs. [5,6]. Ref. [5] shows that in the case of the present experiments the analytical estimation deviates from the numerical result. When we do the numerical calculation for $a_{\text{atom}} = 200$ nm and $n = 5 \times 10^{13} \text{cm}^{-3}$, we get $\mu_{\text{mol}}^{\text{BCS}} = 6.4 \mu\text{K}$, which converted to a scattering length with (1) gives $a_{\text{mol}}^{\text{BCS}} = 253$ nm, which is also not very close to the measured value.

The measured mean-field energy of a homogeneous molecular gas could be calculated in the BCS-model, using

$$E = \int_0^n \mu[n'] dn' = \frac{2\pi\hbar^2 a_{\text{mol}} n^2}{m_{\text{mol}}}. \quad (4)$$

Keeping in mind the fact that the BCS-result is variational, we see that we can only estimate a lower bound for a_{mol} . Apparently the experiments of Zwierlein *et al.* show that this estimation is quite far off and this indicates that the BCS-wave function is probably far from the true state of the system.

Drawing a similar conclusion for the prediction of Petrov *et al.* [3] is not on the same footing, because it is not clear what many-body wave-function should be used in this case of a dense molecular system ($n^{1/3}R = 0.368$). Anyway, also in this case, if we naively use the value of the molecule-molecule scattering length and ignore the dense character of the system, we would arrive at a total mean-field energy that is far higher than the measured

energy and again conclude that this is an indication that the many-body state cannot be very similar to a ‘Bose-gas of molecules’.

In our view, the experiment of Ketterle and co-workers does not necessarily need to be interpreted as indicating that the true scattering amplitude between two molecules becomes small (8 nm measured vs. 120 nm predicted). Rather it can be interpreted as indicating that the energy of the achieved state is much lower than both the (variational) energy for a BCS state and the energy of a molecular condensate. In this sense, we suggest that the experiment may point to a novel many-body state, that is neither the BCS nor the molecular BEC state, and that still has to be identified.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. W. Zwierlein *et al.* cond-mat/0311617.
- [2] W. Ketterle, private communication.
- [3] D. S. Petrov, C. Salomon and G.V. Shlyapnikov cond-mat/0309010.
- [4] A.J. Leggett in *Modern trends in condensed matter theory* edited by A. Pekalski en J. Przystawa (Springer-Verlag 1980).
- [5] J. R. Engelbrecht, M. Randeria and C. A. R. Sá de Melo, Phys. Rev. B **55**, 15153 (1997).
- [6] P. Pieri and G. C. Strinati Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 030401 (2003).